



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,434	10/047,434 10/25/2001		Rajendra Singh	SURR.74	2960	
25871	7590 06/25/2004			EXAMINER		
		ATSCHUN L.L.C.	CEPERLEY, MARY			
1745 SHEA SUITE 330	CENTER	CDRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S RANC	CH, CO 80129	1641			
<i>t</i> -			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4.7		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
7		10/04	7,434	SINGH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTHE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period of the	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provisions ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In remunication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be to estatutory minimum of thirty (30) do not will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this cor IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 <i>April 200</i></u>	<u>4</u> .					
2a)□ T	his action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)[S	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-26</u> is/a laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restri	re withdrawn from						
Application	n Papers							
9)∐ Th	ne specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
-	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are							
	pplicant may not request that any obje							
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including ne oath or declaration is objected t			-				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have documents have of the priority document do	been received. been received in Applica uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National S	Stage			
Attachme=4/-								
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date	450)			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o lo(s)/Mail Date <u>9/24/02; 6/04/02</u> .	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/047,434

Art Unit: 1641

- 1) Claims 12-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 19, 2004.
- *2)* The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See, for example, page 3 of the specification. Applicants are required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01 and 608.01(p).
- *3)* Although specific claims are cited in the rejections below, these rejections are also applicable to all other claims in which the noted problems/language occur.
 - 4) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- *5)* Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a) In claim 1, it is unclear how "a protein mass tag (PMT) reagent", i.e. a single entity, can be "differentially" labeled with multiple "chemical substituents". The term "differentially" implies that more than one "protein mass tag (PMT) reagent" entity must be present in the composition.
 - **b)** In claim 1, it is unclear how the "protein mass tag" can be "differentially" labeled with only <u>one</u> "chemical substituent".

Art Unit: 1641

- determined. The only requirement for the structure is that it contain "an amino acid reactive moiety that selectively reacts with certain protein functional groups" and that it be "differentially labeled". These two requirements are inadequate to chemically and functionally define the composition including its core structure. Additionally, which "certain protein functional groups" are intended is unclear. Claim 1, as written, is readable on any/all compound(s) which contain, for example, a single thiol group ("amino acid reactive moiety") and two different halogen atoms. In claim 2, it is unclear what is meant by the term "homologous organic substituents".
- d) In claims 4 and 5, the term "said protein functional group <u>is</u> an amino acid side chain" is inconsistent with conventional nomenclature wherein a "functional group" may be present <u>on</u> an amino acid side chain but is not, itself, "an amino acid side chain". Clarification is required.
- *e)* The Markush group definitions recited in claim 6 are not conventionally acceptable definitions of the term "protein functional groups". "Protein *functional* groups" are conventionally moieties such as thiols and maleimides present on the protein but are *not* conventionally entire "amino acids", "set of amino acids", "protein fragments", etc.
 - f) In claim 6, it is unclear what is meant by the terms "modified", "a set" and "digested".
- g) It is unclear what the difference in meaning is between the terms "differentially"(claim 1) and "differently" (claim 8).
- **h)** In claims 10 and 11, there is no antecedent basis for the term "protein reactive moieties".
- i) It is unclear in claim 11 how the "protein reactive moiety reacts with the side chain of arginine" since the only reactive portion of the side chain is a guanidinium group.
- 6) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,434

Art Unit: 1641

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- **7)** Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aebersold et al (U.S. 6,670,194).

Aebersold et al describe affinity labeled protein reactive reagents having an affinity label (A) covalently linked to a protein reactive group (PRG) through a linker group (L). The –CH₂- groups of the linker (L) can be substituted with different hydrocarbyl, alkoxy or functional groups. See col. 4, line 1 – col. 5, line 60, especially col. 5, lines 4-12. Note that the compounds are not required to contain isotopes (see col. 5, lines 12-15: "one or more of the atoms in the linker *can* be substituted with a stable isotope"; col. 4, line 8: "the linker *may be* differentially isotopically labeled"), i.e. the corresponding compounds which do not contain isotope labels are described.

The compounds of Aebersold et al anticipate the "reagent" of instant claim 1 which requires *solely* "an amino reactive moiety" (corresponds to the PRG moiety of the Aebersold et al compound) and a "differential label" composed of "non-isotopic chemical substituent(s)" (readable on the differentially substituted –CH₂- moieties of the Aebersold et al linker). For the "post-translationally modified amino acid side chain" of instant claim 5, see Aebersold et al, col. 6, lines 46-65. For the "plurality of PMT reagents" of instant claim 8, see col. 5, lines 39-42. The "amino acid reactive moiety" which is reactive with the guanidinium group (which itself contains an amine function) of arginine (instant claims 7 and 11) is described by Aebersold et al at col. 10, lines 46-52.

Art Unit: 1641

8) Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morgan, Jr.

et al (U.S. 5,840,712).

The "reagent" of instant claim 1 which requires solely "an amino reactive moiety" and a

"differential label" composed of "non-isotopic chemical substituent(s)" is readable on and therefore

anticipated by the heterobifunctional linkers of Morgan, Jr. et al (see TABLE 2). For example the sulfo-

SMCC of col. 15, third structure, of TABLE 1 of Morgan, Jr. et al contains the thiol reactive maleimide

moiety ("an amino acid reactive moiety that selectively reacts with certain protein functional groups"

{instant claim 1}) and a sulfosuccinimidyl group ("one non-isotopic chemical substituent" {instant claim

1}). The SMPB reagent of TABLE 2 (last structure of col. 15 of Morgan, Jr. et al) contains an amine

reactive N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester ("an amino acid reactive moiety that selectively reacts with certain

protein functional groups") and a maleimide group ("one non-isotopic chemical substituent" {instant

claim 1}).

9) An inquiry of a general nature which is not related to the prosecution on the merits

should be directed to Technology Center 1600 telephone number (571) 272-1600. The general fax

number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The examiner can

normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823.

June 24, 2004

Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641